EXHIBIT C

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CARMELO MILLAN, Individually: and on Behalf of All Other : Persons Similarly Situated, : Plaintiff, :

vs.

CITIGROUP, INC., and : CITIGROUP TECHNOLOGY, INC., :

Defendants. : No. 07-CIV-3769

Wednesday, February 20, 2008

Oral deposition of

AMEDEO DISCEPOLO, taken at the Locks Law Firm,

110 East 55th Street, New York, New York,

commencing at 11:05 a.m., before Jennifer S.

Walker, a Federally Approved Registered

Professional Reporter and Notary Public.

VERITEXT NATIONAL COURT REPORTING COMPANY
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
(215) 241-1000 (888) 777-6690

KNIPES-COHEN-VERITEXT COURT REPORTING
400 MARKET STREET 215-928-9300 WWW.KNIPESCOHEN.COM

	Page 2
1 APP	PEARANCES:
2	LOCKS LAW FIRM BY: JANET C. WALSH, ESQUIRE
3	110 East 55th Street New York, New York 10022
4	(212) 838-3333 jwalsh@lockslawny.com
5	Representing the Plaintiff
6	
7	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: SARAH E. BOUCHARD, ESQUIRE
8	BY: SARAH E. PONTOSKI, ESQUIRE 1701 Market Street
9	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2921 (215) 963-5000
10	sbouchard@morganlewis.com spontoski@morganlewis.com
11	Representing the Defendants
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	

		Pa	ge	12
1	BY MS. WALSH:			
2	Q.	Any other documents?		
3	Α.	No.		
4	Q.	Okay. And when you say, "the		
5	interrogatorie	s," are you referring to the		
6	defendant's re	sponses to interrogatories		
7	served by the	plaintiff, or do you know?		
8	Α.	I'm not sure.		
9	Q.	Were they interrogatory		
10	responses that	Citi that CTI provided?		
11		THE WITNESS: Those were the		
12	ones.	Right?		
13		MS. BOUCHARD: (Nodding.)		
14		THE WITNESS: Yes.		
15	BY MS. WALSH:			
16	Q.	And with respect to the		
17	performance re	views, were those performance		
18	reviews of Car	melo Millan?		
19	A.	Yes.		
20	Q.	And were those performance		
21	reviews that y	ou gave him, or were there		
22	others include	d in that?		
23	Α.	It was the ones I gave him, as		
24	well as there	was one from Paul Holder when		
İ				

		Page 13
1	Paul had manage	ement responsibility for
2	Carmelo.	
3	Q.	So those were all the documents
4	that you review	ved?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Did you provide any documents
7	to your attorne	eys in connection with your
8	deposition toda	ay or in connection with this
9	case?	
10	Α.	Yes, I have.
11	Q.	What documents?
12	Α.	Reviewed year-end reviews, some
13	e-mails related	d to various subjects, and I
14	believe we prov	vided the attendance memos that
15	were sent as pa	art of e-mails, um-hum.
16	Q.	Anything else?
17	Α.	I think that's it.
18	Q.	With regard to the e-mails, did
19	you provide to	your attorneys all e-mails that
20	you could trac	that you had received from
21	Carmelo Millan?	?
22	Α.	I provided e-mails that were
23	dialogues betwe	een Carmelo and myself, yes.
24	The ones I coul	ld look at, the ones I had
l		

	Page 16
-	A. No.
2	Q. And also with respect to "Sent
3	Items," if there's nothing in your folder, is
4	there a way to determine is there a way to
5	retrieve e-mails that would have been sent by
6	you to Mr. Millan?
7	A. I'm not sure.
8	Q. Did you make any efforts to
9	determine if you could do that?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did you talk to anybody at
12	Citigroup Technologies sorry, before we go
13	any further, I'm going to be referring to
14	Citigroup Technologies as "CTI" for the
15	purpose of the deposition.
16	Is that okay with you?
17	A. That's fine.
18	Q. If I refer to "Citigroup," I'm
19	referring to Citigroup, Inc.
20	Is that okay?
21	A. Yup.
22	Q. Did you talk to anybody at CTI
23	with respect to giving your deposition today?
24	A. Just my immediate boss.

		Page 17
1	Q.	And who's that?
2	Α.	Yesim Akdeniz. Y-E-S-I-M
3	A-K-D-E-N-I-Z.	
4	Q.	And when did you speak to
5	Mr Ms. Akd	eniz?
6	Α.	Friday. Friday the 15th.
. 7	February 15th.	
8	Q.	And what was the purpose of
9	that conversat	ion?
10	Α.	Just to let her know I would
11	not be in the	office, I'll be attending the
12	deposition hea	rings and, you know, if she
13	needed anythin	g, I'd have to get back to her
14	later in the d	ay.
15	Q.	Was she the only one at CTI who
16	you discussed	your deposition with?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Do you keep a file relating to
19	this lawsuit?	
20	Α.	Yes, I do.
21	Q.	Where do you maintain that?
22	Α.	E-mail. It's on my e-mail
23	system.	
24	Q.	So when you say it's on your

		Page 20
1	deposition tes	timony?
2	Α.	No.
3	Q.	Do you know if Thomas Saranello
4	has been depos	ed in the case?
5	Α.	Yes, I heard he has.
6	Q.	And have you reviewed his
7	testimony?	
8	Α.	I've seen bits and pieces, but
9	I have not rev	riewed, I guess, an entire
10	deposition or	what constituted an entire
11	deposition.	
12	Q.	But you've read some of his
13	testimony from	n his deposition?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Did you speak to Mr. Saranello
16	about his test	cimony?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	Now, you gave me your address
19.	earlier, your	business address?
20	Α.	Um-hum.
21	Q.	You're currently an employee of
22	CTI?	
23	Α.	Yes, I am.
24	Q.	And what's your current
ı		

	Page 21
	position with CTI?
2	A. Senior vice president in
3	network engineering.
4	Q. And how long have you had that
5	position for?
6	A. At least five years. I don't
7	remember the exact date, but it was around
8	2002, 2003, that I was promoted to senior vice
9	president.
10	Q. And back in 2002, 2003, when
11	you first started working as senior vice
12	president in network engineering, can you tell
13	me what your duties and responsibilities were?
14	A. I've always been in network
15	engineering. The only thing that's changed is
16	my corporate titles have changed as I've been
17	promoted.
18	So back in 2002, 2003, I was
19	responsible for technology engineering related
20	to the network transport environment, as well
21	as some voice-over-IP technology.
22	Q. And have those duties and
23	responsibilities changed over the years since
24	2002, 2003?

		Page 25
	Q.	Now, as a network technician,
2	you worked in	that position from 1981 to 1985?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Or '86?
5	Α.	(Nodding.)
6	Q.	What did you do as a network
7	technician?	
8	Α.	Worked in the network
9	operations con	trol center. Main
10	responsibility	was to identify, isolate and
11	repair or fost	er repair of network troubles.
12	Q.	And just to get back to your
13	position, your	current position as senior VP
14	in network eng	ineering
15	Α.	Um-hum.
16	Q.	is there a particular
17	department wit	hin CTI that you work in?
18	А.	It's networks. It's called
19	networks.	
20	Q.	And who is the head of that
21	department?	
22	Α.	Yesim Akdeniz. Y-E-S-I-M
23	A-K-D-E-N-I-Z.	
24		MATE
E .		

	Dama 30
_	Page 32
₹	hired him approximately March or April of '05.
2	Q. So when you took over when
3	you said you took over management
4	responsibilities, what are you referring to
5	specifically?
6	A. In the summer of '05, Yesim
7	made a decision that Paul's team Paul, Les,
8	Carmelo and Naseer would no longer report
9	directly to Yesim, they would report up under
10	me in addition to my other duties. So just
11	another reorganization.
12	Q. So the function are the
13	functions that Naseer Ibrahim is doing in the
14	current category that he's listed in, are they
15	the same as what he was doing back in '05 when
16	you took over management responsibilities, or
17	have they changed?
18	A. Same.
19	Q. Who is Brett Cashen?
20	A. Brett is an engineer that came
21	over from the voice team, and he supports the
22	product management piece of the environment
23	from the voice and video technology domain.
24	Q. And what about James Rosario;

	Page 33
1	who is he?
2	A. James is another product
3	management person. He handles the data side,
4	the network the data network and the
5	perimeter network environments for us.
6	Q. And what are Naseer Ibrahim's
7	current duties and responsibilities?
8	A. He is a lab coordinator
9	servicing the Warren, New Jersey, lab
10	infrastructure for us.
11	Q. And can you break down for me
12	the specific duties and responsibilities that
13	are involved with that?
14	A. Sure. BAU responsibility
15	includes looking at requests that come in from
16	the different engineering groups for
17	Q. Can I interrupt you for one
18	second? What does "BAU" stand for?
19	A. Business as usual.
20	Q. Sorry. Go ahead.
21	A. Sorry about that.
22	His responsibilities include
23	servicing and working on requests from the
24	different engineering teams that utilize the

	Page 34
1	Warren lab facilities.
2	Those specific responsibilities
3	would include receiving of equipment,
4	unpacking of equipment, installing equipment,
5	providing connectivity from the equipment to
6	the network and to the management and
7	surveillance systems.
8	They would also review the
9	request to make sure it was complete, add in
10	anything that was missing, talk with the
11	engineers, work with the engineers on trying
12	to figure out exactly what the engineers are
13	trying to get done and ensure that it would
14	fit within the scope and space of the Warren
15	lab. On top of that, they do inventory
16	control.
17	Q. When you say, "they"
18	A. The lab coordinators
19	Q. Okay.
20	A provide
21	Q. I'm asking about Naseer
22	specifically, and then I'll ask further
23	questions, but if you can just focus on Naseer
24	for right now.

	Page 35
1	A. Yup. He provides inventory
2	control. So he has a database that keeps
3	track of the equipment that comes in and out
4	of the lab and all of the connection points
5	for that equipment so that if there are any
6	issues, we can troubleshoot it.
7	He also keeps track of the
8	value of the equipment for an annual insurance
9	questionnaire. He also reviews the lab access
10	control list to ensure only authorized people
11	have access to the lab. That's about it.
12	Q. Okay. Now, you said you
13	referenced lab coordinators. Is Naseer the
14	only one who has these duties and
15	responsibilities, or does he share them with
16	others?
17	A. There is you know, after
18	Carmelo left, we hired another person. So
. 19 .	there are two lab coordinators out in Warren
20	right now.
21	Q. Who have the same duties and
22	responsibilities you just listed?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do they share those duties and
1	

	Page 40
1	THE WITNESS: They provide a
2	service to the engineering community.
3	That service says they ensure that
4	everything's working to what they
_. 5	installed.
6	If, after they install
7	something, it's not working properly,
8	the engineers would contact them and
9	say, "This does not work," and they
10	would go in and try to troubleshoot it
11	and try to figure out what wasn't
12	working. Did they have the cabling
13	wrong, you know. Did they have a
14	mismatch in speed, something like
15	that.
16	BY MS. WALSH:
17	Q. So any functions in
18	responsibility which are known as level-one
19.	functions for network support would be
20	performed by the lab coordinators?
21	MS. BOUCHARD: Objection to
22	form.
23	I don't think that there's been
24	any definition of level-one support,

	Page 49
1	include the two of them now as lab
2	coordinators
3	A. Okay.
4	Q is receiving equipment. Can
5	you tell me what's involved in that?
6	A. Yes. If an engineer is going
7	to evaluate or certify new products, those new
8	products get delivered from the vendor to our
9	shipping dock. The mailroom will then deliver
10	them to the lab, and the lab coordinator's
11	role is to receive that equipment, place it in
12	a storage room and then work with the engineer
13	to deploy and install that equipment in the
14	right fashion.
15	Q. Okay. So you said install and
16	deploy the equipment. What's specifically
17	involved, in layperson's terms, in installing
18	and deploying the equipment?
. 19	A. What they would do is unpack it
20	if they need to, install it in a cabinet or
21	freestanding frame, plug it in, connect up the
22	network cables, the management cables and the
23	surveillance cables that would allow the
24	engineers to gain access to the equipment from

	Page 50
Queenly .	either outside the lab or from their desk.
2	Q. What are the management cables?
3	A. Just an Ethernet cable that
4	connects the equipment to another device that
5	allows engineers to gain access to the
6	equipment.
7	Q. And you also said surveillance
8	cables?
9	A. Same stuff. It's another
10	Ethernet RJ45 cable that connects from the
11	equipment to a different management box that
12	will again, allows the engineers remote
13	access into the device.
14	Q. And is that all that's involved
15	in installing or deploying the equipment for
16	engineers, or is there something else involved
17	in that?
18	A. They would have to configure
19	the surveillance system or the management
20	system in order to accept the additional
21	equipment cables. They would also supply IP
22	addresses or, you know IP addresses to the
23	equipment, and they have to do some basic
24	configuration on the lab infrastructure to

	Page 51
1	ensure that it is set up properly to
2	communicate with the technology devices.
3	Q. What's involved, in layperson's
4	terms, in configuring the surveillance and
5	management systems?
6	A. Just installing the system,
7	powering it on and then logging on to it from
8	a management console and configuring the
9	device.
10	Q. And, again, what's actually
11	involved in supplying an IP address to the
12	equipment?
13	A. Going to a database we have
14	a set range of IP addresses that are assigned
15	to engineers or engineering teams within the
16	lab. They would just go to a database that
17	was created to track those and assign the
18	next, you know, logical IP address for that
19	engineering team.
20	Q. You also said that they do some
21	basic configuration on the lab infrastructure
22	to ensure that it's set up properly to
23	communicate with the technology devices?
24	A. Yes.

	Page 52
1	Q. Again, if you can explain it to
2	me in layperson's terms.
3	A. So in a lab, we have a set of
4	devices that are used to connect lab equipment
5	to the production network. That set of
6	devices needs to be configured; how many ports
7	we're using, what the card type is, what the
8	speed of the ports are so that it matches up
9	to the equipment that's being installed or
10	accessed.
11	So if we have any problems
12	where it's not configured properly we don't
13	have the ports enabled, we don't have the card
14	enabled we can't provide connections or
15	service to the engineers.
16	Q. Currently, how many shipments
17	are received on a weekly basis by the lab
18	coordination team?
19	A. Offhand, a handful. Not a
20	great deal. Approximately, I'll guess, three
21	to five, at this point.
22	Q. You testified "at this point."
23	Has it reduced over the course of the last few
24	years?

		Page 55
1	Q.	And the Rutherford location?
2	Α.	Same thing, ten to 15. The
3	majority were	in Greenwich Street.
. 4	Q.	And currently at the lab
5	facility in Wa	rren, how many engineers are
6	employed?	
7	Α.	I don't have exact numbers
8	anymore.	
9	Q.	Can you estimate?
10	Α.	I would say there's still 250
11	to 300 sitting	out in Warren.
12	Q.	Now, you said your current
13	address is whe	re?
14	Α.	Sixty-eight South Service Road
15	in Melville, N	ew York.
16	Q.	Okay. So are you do some of
17	your duties an	d responsibilities still relate
18	to the Warren	lab facility or no?
19		No.
20	Q.	When did that end?
21	Α.	December 31st, 2007.
22	Q.	Up until that point, were you
23	actually locat	ed at the Warren lab facility?
24	Α.	No.
l		

		Page 56
1	Q.	You were still located in
2	Melville?	
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	At any point in time, were you
5	located at the	Warren lab facility?
6	А.	No.
7	Q.	So from the time it moved
8	there, you sai	d the move was complete the
9	third quarter	of 2006?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	From the time it moved there,
12	you've never b	een actually physically located
13	for work at th	e lab facility?
14	Α.	We I would go out there once
15	a week, once e	very couple of weeks just to
16	check in with	the lab team, as well as part of
17	my other team	that was sitting out in Warren,
18	but I did not	occupy a full-time spot in
19	Warren.	······································
20	Q.	How long have you been in the
21	Melville locat	ion?
22	Α.	A year and a half.
23	Q.	And where were you located
24	before that?	

		Page 57
1	Α.	There was a site in Uniondale,
2	Long Island, t	hat we were sitting at.
3	Q.	For how long were you located
4	there?	
5	Α.	I was there for about a year.
6	Q.	And prior to that, where was
7	your office?	
8	Α.	388 Greenwich.
9	Q.	Was there a specific floor
10	where your off	ice was located?
11	Α.	Thirteen.
12	Q.	And you just had one desk?
13	Α.	I had an office.
14	Q.	You had an office?
15	Α.	Um-hum.
16	Q.	And when did you when were
17	you first loca	ted at the 388 Greenwich Street
18	location?	
19	A.	I think in 1993.
20	Q.	And was that always on the
21	13th floor?	
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	You testified that another
24	responsibility	of a lab coordinator currently
1		

	Page 58
1	is unpacking equipment?
2	A. Um-hum, yes.
3	Q. That, I think we've gone over
4	with respect to the receiving equipment part
5	of it.
6	Is there anything else with
7	respect to unpacking equipment that you
8	haven't testified to?
9	A. No.
10	Q. You also testified that one of
11	their responsibilities is installing
12	equipment. Again, other than what you've
13	testified to with respect to the installation,
14	is there anything else that you left out
15	there?
16	A. No.
17	Q. You testified that the lab
18	coordinator also reviews requests to ensure
19	that they're complete. What's involved with
20	that?
21	A. The engineers would submit a
22	lab request form. And on that request form,
23	it would give a description of what the
24	engineer was trying to accomplish, whether

	Page 59
1	that's installing new equipment, needs
2	additional network connectivity, is changing
3	speeds, anything related to his lab
4	environment.
5	So the lab coordinators would
6	review that and make sure that they could
7	service or fulfill that requirement. And that
8	included all the information that we need from
9	a control compliance point of view.
10	Q. So those types of requests,
11	would they include requests for new equipment?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And they'd include requests for
14	changes to the environment that the engineer's
15	working in?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Now, if you can explain to me
18	the specific different types of requests that
19	can be made, if you can break it down for me.
20	A. I would I would categorize
21	it as three different types. One is for new
22	installs. Second one would be to deinstall or
23	remove equipment from the lab. Third would be
24	to implement changes to existing environments

	Page 60
1	within the lab.
2	Q. Under the third category, can
3	you tell me the types of changes to the
4	existing environment?
5	A. Yeah. A couple of the changes
6	could be if an engineer has a server
7	installed, and it only has one network
8	connection today, and they're going to upgrade
9	that server, and it requires two, four or
10	eight connections, the engineers would have to
11	ensure that they've got the IP address, they
12	have the port capacity, they have the cable
13	capacity to make those additional connections.
14	Q. You just said that the
15	engineers would have to ensure that they
16	had
17	A. I'm sorry, the lab
18	coordinators.
19	Q. Lab coordinators?
20	A. Yes. Sorry about that.
21	Q. You also said that one of their
22	functions was to talk to engineers to ensure
23	that the scope and space at the Warren lab was
24	sufficient for what they wanted to do.
I	

	Page 61
1	If you can explain to me what
2	that means in layperson's terms.
3	A. So if a engineer has a request
4	that says, "I want to install Box A," the lab
5	coordinators would review that request and
6	say, "Okay. One, do we really understand what
7	this is? Do we have the full dimension of the
8	equipment listed here? How big is it?" You
9	know, "What's the footprint that it's going to
10	take up? Is it a standalone cabinet? Is it a
11	rack-mountable device? What type of power
12	does it need? Do we have to ask for any
13	specific or special power requirements for
14	that device? What type of network connections
15	and management connections, and do we have all
16	of the capacity that we need to service that
17	request from the user?"
18	Q. Just to get back to the move to
19	Warren, I think you testified that currently
20	there's only a handful of shipments that come
21	into the lab, about three to five per week.
22	You said that that has been reduced
23	dramatically since the move?
24	A. (Nodding.)

	Page 69
1	again, I would think, you know, they were
2	using similar processes that were put in place
3	for Warren.
4	Q. You testified that another
5	responsibility of the lab coordinators
6	currently is inventory control?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Can you explain to me, in
9	layperson's terms, what inventory control is?
10	A. Sure. What that means is, when
11	equipment comes into the lab and they install
12	it or they have to remove equipment, they go
13	into a database and they update that database
14	with the information.
15	If it's new equipment coming
16	in, who requested it, what type of equipment,
17	what's the value of the equipment and
18	information like that, as well as the
19	connectivity information.
20	And if they remove it, same
21	thing; they pull it out and clear up the
22	databases so that we can keep an accurate
23	inventory of what's in the lab.
24	Q. What's the purpose of that?
İ	

	Page 70
1	A. A couple of purposes. One is
2	to adhere to an annual insurance questionnaire
3	that we need to fill out in order to
4	approximate how much dollar value is within
5	the lab and if something happened to that lab,
6	what it would take to replace all of that
7	equipment.
8	And the other key point is
9	really to make sure we have capacity planning
10	for the lab so that we know how much space is
11	there, how much infrastructure is available,
12	how much power we're using.
13	And the third part is to be
14	able to service and assist the engineers if
15	something is breaking and they need help.
16	Q. Now, are the lab coordinators
17	responsible for troubleshooting with respect
18	to the network?
19	A. With respect to the core lab
20	network there's two pieces to the network.
21	There's on the left side, you got the
22	production network. On the right side, you
23	have the lab network. They are responsible
24	for the lab network.

	Page 71
1	So they configure the network,
2	they run the cables that connect the devices
3	to that network. And if something isn't
4	working, they will assist the engineers in
5	figuring out what's wrong, reconfiguring the
6	network, reconfiguring the management
7	stations, replacing a cable, stuff like that.
8	Q. What's the difference between
9	the production network and the lab network?
10	A. Lab network is, you know,
11	strictly on the right side of a firewall,
12	which is a device to keep the network safe so
13	that we can't get viruses or bad programs from
14	the lab out onto the production network. The
15	production network is where Citigroup and its
16	businesses transact their daily work.
17	Q. So the lab coordinators are
18	responsible for troubleshooting the lab
19	network only?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Is there anyone else involved
22	in troubleshooting with respect to the lab
23	network?
24	A. Some of the engineers would

	Page 77
1	other departments' lab equipment into that
2	lab.
3	Q. In terms of the duties and
4	responsibilities of the lab coordinator that
5	we've just gone through and I think that
6	covered all of the different categories that
7	you testified to earlier is there anything
8	else that we haven't covered that the lab
9	coordinator is currently responsible for?
10	A. Just to make sure, do they
11	have did I put down configuration of the
12	lab core equipment, the lab core network?
13	Q. You could have, but I can't
14	read my writing.
15	A. Hate when that happens.
16	Q. Let's go over that.
17	You said configuration of the
18	lab?
19	A. Core network.
20	Q. Core network. Can you explain
21	to me what that is?
22	A. I think I did now that I
23	recall, but, yes, again, in the lab, there is
24	a set of devices that is used to connect all

	Page 78
1	of the engineer's test equipment to the
2	production network.
3	Those devices Cisco
4	switches, Cisco routers have to get
5	configured with the proper cards, with the
6	configuration files. They've got to load them
7	up and bring them online and test them out.
8	MS. WALSH: Just off the record
9	for a minute.
10	
11	(Discussion off the record.)
12	
13	(Recess 12:28-12:36 p.m.)
14	
15	BY MS. WALSH:
16	Q. At some point in time,
17	Mr. Millan reported to you in his job
18	function. Is that correct?
19.	A. Yes.
20	Q. When was that?
21	A. Specifically reporting to me
22	would have been June, July of '06 through
23	March '07.
24	Q. And did he report directly to

	Page 79
1	you as of June, July '06, or was there an
2	intermediary?
3	A. That was directly.
4	Q. And prior to that, who did he
5	report to?
6	A. Paul Holder, who reported to
7	me. And that was summer of '05.
8	Q. And what was the reason for the
9	change?
10	A. Paul decided to move out of the
11	engineering group into a security role. And
12	at that point in time, I decided to have the
13	lab coordinators report directly to me.
14	Q. So nobody replaced Paul Holder
15	in that position?
16	A. No.
17	Q. What was his title prior to the
18	move into surveillance or security?
19	A. He was a senior network
20	engineer, and his corporate title may have
21	been classified as a manager.
22	Q. So he was reporting to you, and
23	Mr. Millan was reporting to him?
24	A. Yes.

	Page 80
1	Q. From the summer of '05?
2	A. Mr. Millan was reporting to
3	Paul prior to the summer of '05, but they
4	moved Paul's team under me in the summer of
5	'05.
6	Q. So from the summer of '05 to
7	June, July '06, Mr. Millan was reporting to
8	Mr. Holder who, in turn, was reporting to you?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What type of direct
11	responsibilities, duties, if any, did you have
12	over Mr. Millan during that time?
13	MS. BOUCHARD: During what
14	time?
15	MS. WALSH: Summer of 2005 to
16	June, July 2006.
17	THE WITNESS: Loose
18	responsibility. I funneled most of my
19	management stuff through Paul. The
20	only specific thing I talked with
21	Carmelo about was the lab migration
22	project and stuff related to it.
23	BY MS. WALSH:
24	Q. Where was Mr. Millan's office

	Page 81
1	or desk located at the time?
2	A. He was at 388 Greenwich.
3	Q. Was the summer of '05 the first
4	time that you met Mr. Millan or came in
5	contact with him, or were you familiar with
6	him prior to that?
7	A. That was the first time.
8	Q. With respect to the lab
9	migration, can you tell me the specific
10	interaction you would have with him?
11	A. Yeah, after that team was moved
12	under me, I understood from Yesim that the
13	migration was a major thing, and I needed to
14	become familiar with it.
15	So I met with Paul and Carmelo
16	to have them bring me up to speed with what
17	was going on, where the project plan was and
18	how we're doing on the project.
19	Q. What was Carmelo's role with
20	respect to the lab migration?
21	A. It was his responsibility to
22	handle the migration to Warren. It was his
23	role to develop the plan, to work with the
24	other engineering teams to make sure we

82

	Page
1	understood all the equipment that was going
2	out there. He laid out or configured the
3	Warren lab space and all the connectivity. It
4	was his game to win. It was all his.
5	Q. So the first thing you said
6	was, his role was to develop the plan. What
7	was involved with that?
8	A. He was utilizing Microsoft
9	Projects, I believe, to identify all of the
10	major deliverables and all of the tasks
11	associated with those deliverables, what the
12	start/end dates were, what their current
13	status comments were and who owned the
14	responsibility.
15	Q. When you say, "major
16	deliverables," is that the delivery of the
17	equipment out to Warren?
18	A. It could have been broken into
19	a few different things such as equipment
20	inventory, shipping and receiving of equipment
21	from the labs to Warren. Floor layout
22	floor diagrams, floor layouts for the cabinet
23	or the infrastructure within Warren, the
24	connectivity to's and from's or the

	Page 83
1	interconnections of all of their pieces of
2	equipment within the Warren lab.
3	Q. Did he do the floor layouts and
4	floor diagrams, or did somebody else do those?
5	A. I believe he did those. He may
6	have had help from one other team.
7	Q. Which team was that?
8	A. That would have been the data
9	center planning team, we call it or we
10	called it at that point in time.
11	Q. Were they engineers or
12	A. They were engineers, but they
13	did not report to Yesim; they were a separate
14	organization.
15	Q. So aside from developing the
16	plan for the move, which you just testified
17	about, you said to work with the other
18	engineering teams.
19	What was involved specifically
20	in Mr. Millan working with other engineering
21	teams as it related to the move to Warren?
22	A. That would have been to ensure
23	that he gets a coordinator assigned from the
24	other team.

		Page 84
1	Q.	Which other team?
2	Α.	Any of the engineering teams,
3	you know.	
4	Q.	So there was a coordinator for
5	each of the en	gineering teams that was kind of
6	assigned to co	over the move to Warren?
7	Α.	Yes, to work with Carmelo on
8	the move to Wa	rren.
9	Q.	So he had to ensure he had a
10	coordinator fr	om each of those teams to work
11	with him?	
12	Α.	They would get a coordinator,
13	they would sup	ply the current inventory of the
14	labs, Carmelo	would review the inventory, pose
15	questions back to engineers if something was	
16	missing, make	sure he had all the information
17	he needed so t	hat when that equipment showed
18	up in Warren,	he knew where it belonged, who
1.9	it goes to, wh	nat connections, what power, all
20	that stuff tha	at we've talked about.
21	Q.	So he would get that inventory
22	from the coord	linator from each of the
23	different engi	neering teams?
24	Α.	Yes. Let me just clarify that.
l		

	Page 85
1	From the from the remote the 250 West,
2	the 111 Wall and Rutherford labs, the 388
3	Greenwich lab, he had responsibility for that
4	inventory and everything there.
5	Q. You also said that he was
6	responsible for laying out or configuring the
7	Warren lab space and all connectivity?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Can you tell me, in layperson's
10	terms, what that involved?
11	A. Yup. So you have a room like
12	this, he's going to figure out where the
13	cabinets get placed in the room. He's going
14	to figure out what equipment goes in what
15	cabinet and what cable connectivity has to
16	come back from that equipment to the main
17	central patching frame.
18	Q. Did he determine where people
1.9	were going to sit?
20	A. He determined where the
21	equipment would go in the cabinets. There
22	were no people out there.
23	Q. Just what you testified to with
24	respect to the Warren lab migration and

	Page 86		
1	Mr. Millan's job responsibilities with respect		
2	to that, anything else that he had		
3	responsibility for that you haven't testified		
4	to?		
5	A. Assembling the equipment		
6	purchases. And what that entailed was making		
7	sure that we had all the equipment we needed		
8	in order to build out the Warren lab.		
9	So identifying how many		
10	cabinets, how many shelves, what type of		
11	cables, how much core infrastructure equipment		
12	was needed, whether they were routers,		
13	switches, management platforms, stuff of that		
14	nature, tools that you could use.		
15	Q. Anything else?		
16	A. I think that's it for the		
17	migration.		
18	Q. Now, during the migration, was		
19	Mr. Ibrahim already an employee within that		
20	department?		
21	A. Yes.		
22	Q. Did he was he responsible		
23	for any or did he perform any of the duties		
24	and responsibilities with respect to the		

	Page 87
1	Warren lab migration that you just testified
2	to?
3	A. For the most part, it was
4	Carmelo. Naseer was hired, again, in March or
5	April of '05, so he was pretty new. And
6	Carmelo was actually, you know, training and
7	giving him some oversight as to how the lab
8	works, what to do, how to handle a request.
9	Q. Did Naseer report to did
10	Mr. Ibrahim report to Mr. Millan?
11	A. Not officially, no.
12	Q. Who did he report to?
13	A. Initially, to Paul. And then
14	in June, July of '06, through '07, they both
15	reported to me.
16	Q. Did Mr. Millan have any hiring
17	responsibilities?
18	A. Not that I'm aware of.
19	Q. Did he sorry.
20	A. Go ahead.
21	Q. Did he have the power to
22	discipline an employee?
23	A. Not from the time they came
24	under my management control, no.

	Page 88
1.	Q. Before that, did he have that
2	responsibility?
3	A. Not that I'm aware of.
4	Q. Could he fire an employee?
5	A. I don't believe so.
6	Q. Was he considered a manager?
7	A. I believe he was considered an
8	engineer or an analyst.
9	Q. Prior to you taking direct
10	managerial responsibility for Mr. Millan, who
11	was responsible for completing employment
12	evaluations for him?
13	A. Paul Holder. Again, from when
14	I took responsibility in '05, Paul did the
15	performance review for '05, Paul did the
16	midyear review in '06, and I did year-end in
17	'07 I mean, in '06.
18	Q. When Paul had the
19	responsibility for doing the reviews midyear,
20	year-end reviews, did you have any input with
21	respect to those reviews?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. What was your input?
24	A. Just making sure I agreed with

Page 89 what Paul put down, if I noticed any 1 improvement areas that would benefit Carmelo 2 or anyone else. So time management, project 3 management, classes, you know, recommended 4 that he take a few of those. 5 Was there a reason specifically 6 0. 7 that you recommended that he take a 8 time-management class? Primarily just -- we like to 9 have all of the engineers run through that so 10 that they get a better understanding of how to 11 do time management as it relates to all the 12 projects that come in. We have a lot of work 13 14 that comes in, and you really have to figure out how to handle the load. 15 At the time Mr. Millan started 16 Q. reporting to you directly, how many hours per 17 18 week was he working? A. I would -- based on the reports 19 that I eventually started pulling in August 20 and I believe October, I would think, you 21 know, from what I saw, he was working 35, 22 37 hours a week. 23 And that was from June 2006? 24 0.

	Page 123
1	are you suggesting that there was just
2	a label put on him? Because he was
3	doing different functions.
4	MS. WALSH: Well, we can argue
5	about that.
6	MS. BOUCHARD: Okay. Let's
7	leave that stipulation if and when we
8	need to get into those class issues.
9	MS. WALSH: Okay.
10	BY MS. WALSH:
11	Q. Do you know if the lab ever
12	used a help center with respect to technical
13	issues?
14	A. Warren, definitely not. 388, I
15	don't believe so. And, you know, from the
16	time I took management responsibility, we
17	definitely did not use any centralized help
18	center. And I can't attest to anything with
19	the other labs.
20	Q. Are the lab coordinators
21	required to have any certifications to perform
22	their job functions?
23	A. It's not required, but
24	certifications are always a benefit or a plus.

		Page 124
1	Q.	What type of certifications are
2	beneficial?	
3	Α.	Could be a couple in the lab;
4	networking, Ci	sco-related networking and, you
5	know, server-r	elated Windows or UNIX
6	certifications	would be a benefit to them so
7	that they coul	d really dialogue and have good
8	conversations	with the engineers.
9	Q.	Does Mr. Ibrahim have any of
10	those certific	ations?
11	Α.	I'm not sure if he has a
12	certification,	but we he did attend
13	technical trai	ning last year in pursuit of a
14	certification.	
15	Q.	For which certification?
16	Α.	It would be one of the Cisco
17	tracks, whethe	r it's the CCNA or the CCNP.
18	Q.	And what about Mr. Sorrentino?
19	A	As far as I know, he does not
20	have any certi	fications.
21	Q.	Did Mr. Millan ever complain to
22	you that he wa	s overworked in his position as
23	lab coordinato	r?
24	Α.	I believe the discussions we

	Page 128
1	Q. Now, you said you checked the
2	records at the Warren facility to see what
3	time Mr. Millan was coming and going?
4	A. When I noticed that we were
5	having attendance issues, I worked with HR to
6	figure out how to handle it, and they
7	suggested that we came to an agreement that
8	I could use the swipe-in/swipe-out methodology
9	and have him specifically use the main
10	swipe-in/swipe-out when he enters and leaves
11	the building so that we have a clear track
12	record.
13	Q. So did you instruct him at some
14	point to use the main swipe-in/swipe-out?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. When did it come about that you
17	noticed that there were attendance issues?
18	A. Definitely in August of '06. I
19	believe that's when we issued the first
20	warning, but I would think, you know, the
21	month or two, you know, the June/July time
22	frame when he started reporting to me
23	directly, I started noticing or hearing things
24	that no one can find anyone, no one's around,

	Page 135
1	
2	(Whereupon, a document was
3	marked for identification purposes
. 4	as Discepolo-4.)
5	- ··· ·-
6	BY MS. WALSH:
7	Q. Mr. Discepolo, I'm going to
8	give you an exhibit that's being marked as
9	Discepolo-4. And for identification purposes,
10	it is a two-page document Bates stamped
11	CTI0000363 to 364.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. I'm going to ask you to take a
14	look at that document, so let me know when
15	you're ready.
16	A. (Reviewing.)
17	Okay.
18	Q. Do you recognize this document?
1.9	A. Yes, I do.
20	Q. What is it?
21	A. It's a document I wrote up to
22	address Carmelo's attendance issues.
23	Q. Is this the document you
24	testified to that you prepared in I think

		Page 136
1	you said Augus	t of 2006. Is that correct?
2	Α.	Yes, it is.
3	Q.	And the date on it is
4	September 6th,	2006?
5	Α.	Um-hum.
6	Q.	Would that refresh your
7	recollection w	ith respect to the specific time
8	frame wherein	you met with Mr. Millan?
9	Α.	Yes. Yes.
10	Q.	And would would the date on
11	this memo be t	he same date that you met with
12	him or a diffe	erent date?
13	Α.	It may have been a day later or
14	a couple days	later as I wrote this in my
15	office. And b	by the time I got out to see him
16	in Warren, it	could have been a day or two
17	later.	
18	Q.	In the in the first
1.9	paragraph of t	he memo, it says, "The purpose
20	of this formal	written warning is to address
21	your overall a	ttendance over the past few
22	months which a	affects your performance and our
23	team's efforts	. This issue has been discussed
24	with you on se	everal occasions."

		Page 140
1	Should these be	of a personal nature, you're
2	encouraged to c	ontact the Firm's Employee
3	Assistance Prog	ram (E.A.P.), a confidential
4	employee counse	ling service to discuss the
5	problem or seek	assistance."
6		Firstly, did Mr. Millan discuss
7	with you any pe	rsonal issues that were
8	affecting his a	ttendance?
9	Α.	Not at this meeting. Not
10	related to this	, but, you know, earlier in
11	2006, he had some personal issues where he	
12	took some time off, and he went on disability	
13	for a few weeks.	
14	Q.	When was that?
15	Α.	I'm going to say the end of
16	March, April, M	ay time frame.
17	Q.	2006?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	And for how many weeks did he
20	go on disabilit	y?
21	Α.	I believe it was at least two.
22	Q.	And what sorry.
23	Α.	Go ahead.
24	Q.	What was the reason for that?

		Page 142
1.	say to him durin	g the conversation?
2	Α. "	Take the time you need. Take
_: 3	your time. Use	the employee services. Get
4	the help, whatev	er it is that you think you
5	need to straight	en out."
6		
7	(Whereupon, a document was
8	marke	d for identification purposes
9	as Di	scepolo-5.)
10		
11	BY MS. WALSH:	
12	Q. I	'm going to give you another
13	exhibit, Mr. Dis	cepolo. This one is being
14	marked as Discep	olo-5. And for identification
15	purposes, it's a two-page document that's been	
16	Bates stamped CT	10000365 to 6.
17	I	'm going to ask you to look at
18	that document an	d let me know when you're
19	ready.	
20	Α. (Reviewing.)
21	0	kay.
22	Q. D	o you recognize that document?
23	А. У	es, I do.
24	Q. W	That is it?
ł		

	Page 143
1	A. It's a formal written warning
2	notice that I gave to Carmelo in January of
3	'07 or dated January '07 for his
4	attendance issues.
5	Q. And was this does this
6	refresh your recollection with respect to the
7	second meeting you had with Mr. Millan as to
8	the time frame?
9	A. Yeah. This one actually didn't
10	go to Carmelo until late February, I believe
11	it was.
12	Q. Why was that?
13	A. I don't think there was any
14	specific reason. Him being out days, me being
15	out days, just time to get together. Also
16	probably getting HR to review it and agree to
17	it.
18	Q. Now, this one, the "Re" in this
19	one is "Final Formal Written Warning"?
20	A. Um-hum.
21	Q. What is your understanding with
22	respect to that description of the memo?
23	A. My understanding was that this
24	is the final warning he would get and that if

	Page 144		
1	he didn't straighten out his attendance issue,		
2	it could lead up to dismissal.		
3	Q. And did Mr. Millan sign and		
4	acknowledge this memo in your presence?		
5	A. He acknowledged it. I don't		
6	believe he signed this one.		
7	Q. Any reason why?		
8	A. Not that I recall, but I		
9	don't I don't know if I have a signed copy		
10	of this one.		
11	Q. Subsequent to you meeting with		
12	him in February of '07 to discuss this memo,		
13	did you have any subsequent conversations with		
14	him regarding attendance?		
15	A. No.		
16	Q. Did you have any subsequent		
17	conversations with him regarding him being		
18	overworked?		
19	A. No.		
20	Q. Did you have any other		
21	conversations with him at any time regarding		
22	his stress level?		
23	A. No.		
24	Q. Did his attendance improve		

	Page 145			
1 after you meeting with him in February of				
2	2 2007?			
3	A. Honestly, if I recall properly,			
4	this was given to him late in February and,			
5	within a day or two, he announced his			
6	resignation.			
7	Q. How did he announce his			
8	resignation?			
9	A. If I remember correctly, he let			
10	us know that he resigned, and then there was a			
11	small e-mail exchange, I guess, between him			
12	and I where I asked him to put it in black and			
13	white. And then he wanted to know about his			
14	vacation time, and I told him I'd get back to			
15	him or HR would have to get back to him with			
16	that information.			
17	Q. Did you have a conversation			
18	with him after he tendered his resignation?			
 19	A. I'm sure I did. It was			
20	probably a real brief conversation, just him			
21	explaining that he couldn't couldn't work			
22	within the company anymore.			
23	Q. At some point in time, was			
24	Mr. Millan involved in the drafting of a			

	Page 146			
1	Process Control Manual for global engineering?			
2	A. Yes, he was.			
3	Q. When was that?			
4	A. I think he initially drafted			
5	the PCM when he was working for Paul prior to			
6	my management responsibility, but as I became			
7	familiar with that environment, we were always			
8	looking to improve the PCM and bring it up to			
9	date and make sure it really described what			
10	they did in the lab.			
11	Q. What was the purpose of the			
12	PCM?			
13	A. Just a general process manual			
14	for lab coordinators to understand what their			
15	roles and responsibilities are in the lab,			
16	govern or give a set of rules that should be			
17	followed when you're performing work in the			
18	lab.			
19	Q. Did a PCM exist for the lab			
20	prior to Mr. Millan drafting it, or do you			
21	know?			
22	A. I don't know. I think that's			
23	the first one I ever saw, so			
24	Q. Were Process Control Manuals			

	Page 147	
	mandated throughout CTI in every department at	
2	a certain point in time?	
3	A. I can't attest to the other	
4	departments. Within engineering, there were	
5	certain PCMs created for different aspects of	
6	engineering work.	
7	Q. And beyond having general	
8	processes documented, what was the purpose of	
9	doing that?	
10	A. Just again, just to ensure	
11	that all of the engineers or personnel	
12	involved in certain aspects are following the	
13	same guidelines and rules when they do their	
14	work. And if you bring new people on board,	
15	it gives them a point to start to understand	
16	how things are done within the environment.	
17	Q. So is it used for training	
18	purposes?	
19	A. Not training, but just to give	
20	them give a new employee a guideline as to	
21	how things get done for what they	
22	Q. Sorry. Go ahead.	
23	A. Go ahead.	
24	Q. To familiarize them with the	

	Page 148	
1	rules and responsibilities?	
2	A. Um-hum. Or certain processes	
3	that would have to get followed.	
4	-	
5	(Whereupon, a document was	
6	marked for identification purposes	
7	as Discepolo-6.)	
8	NAT - 1945	
9	BY MS. WALSH:	
10	Q. I'm going to show you another	
11	exhibit. This one is being marked as	
12	Discepolo-6, and it is a an 11-page	
13	document that's Bates stamped for	
14	identification purposes CTI00001167 through	
15	77.	
16	A. Okay.	
17	Q. And I'm going to ask you to	
18	have a look at that and let me know when	
19	you're ready.	
20	A. (Reviewing.)	
21	Okay.	
22	Q. Do you recognize what's being	
23	marked as Exhibit 6?	
24	A. I recognize it in the format	

	Page 150
1	Q. And the listing of
2	responsibilities there, is that an accurate
3	reflection of the responsibilities that
4	Mr. Millan and Mr. Ibrahim would have had as
5	lab coordinators at the time?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What is loaner equipment?
8	A. If an engineer is going to
9	evaluate a new piece of technology from Compaq
10	or HP, HP or Compaq would give them demo gear
11	or loaner hardware for them to do the
12	evaluation and then ship it back to them after
13	they finished their evaluation.
14	Q. Physical lab access control, I
15	know you testified earlier that relates to the
16	actual personnel who were allowed to have
17	access to the lab?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What's yearly reconciliation
20	with respect to that? What does that involve?
21	A. That involves getting a report
22	from security for the badge reader assigned to
23	the lab doors and showing a list of who has
24	access to that badge reader and then just

	Page 151	
1	running through it and saying, "I know these	
2	people, I know these people, they're good,	
3	they're good. Look, we've got HR people with	
4	access to the lab. They don't belong here.	
5	Take them off the list."	
6	Q. Okay.	
7	A. Okay?	
8	Q. What is inter-engineering	
9	discipline liaison?	
10	A. I would take that as a	
11	consultant-type role to work with different	
12	engineering groups that are trying to test	
13	something, and the lab coordinators would	
14 facilitate or help those groups come together		
15	and make sure that the product that they want	
16	to test is going to work in the lab.	
17	Q. And, specifically, how would	
18	they do that?	
19	A. They would see a lab request	
20	come in, it says, you know, the voice team is	
21	going to work with the storage team or they	
22	have a requirement for storage, yet they	
23	haven't spoken to the storage team yet.	
24	So Carmelo, Naseer or any of	

	Page 152			
1	the lab coordinators would review that, get in			
2	touch with both parties and say, "Let's get			
3	together. Let's have a quick meeting. Let's			
4	figure out what we're doing here," and try to			
5	bring that to closure.			
6	Q. And overall lab caretakes,			
7	gatekeepers. What does that entail?			
8	A. I think that's a general catch			
9	bucket there that says they are responsible			
10	for the lab, no one should be doing anything			
11	within the lab, except for the lab			
12	coordinators. They do all the work in the			
13	lab, no one else should be doing it.			
14	Q. And what is SME peer group?			
15	A. SME is a an engineering			
16	it's a label we give to an engineer. It's			
17	it's really dictating that he's the expert in			
18	that field or in that technology.			
19	Q. Do you know what "SME" stands			
20	for?			
21	A. Got a block right now.			
22	MS. BOUCHARD: Subject matter			
23	expert.			
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.			

	Page 156
1	A. Again, when we install
2	equipment in the lab, we have to give it an IP
3	address in order for it to talk on a network.
4	So, as I stated before, we
5	assign blocks of addresses to the different
6	engineering teams. As they put more and more
7	equipment in, we pick out IP addresses to
8	assign to the next.
9	Q. So it's the assignment of the
10	IP addresses and the keeping of that
11	information in the database?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Just to go back to Exhibit 7,
14	the same page, Page 5, under Number 4, it
15	says, "Responsible for lab environmentals"?
16	A. Um-hum.
17	Q. What does that mean?
18	A. So that means within that lab
19	space, we have electrical and cooling
20	requirements. So we had some monitors built
21	into the lab so that we can measure how much
22	electricity is being used within the lab. And
23	we have a threshold; can't go above that
24	threshold or we have issues.

	Page 157	
1	And the cooling we have built	
2	on top of each cabinet is a monitor. And the	
3	cabinet shouldn't go above 75 degrees	
4	Fahrenheit. If it does, equipment can start	
5	failing and all that stuff. They do a	
6	walk-through once a day just to check the	
7	environment out and make sure it's okay.	
8	Q. And make sure they haven't	
9	exceeded those levels?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Sir, you testified earlier that	
12	when Mr. Holder was responsible for doing	
13	performance evaluations that you would have	
14	certain input to it when you were manager.	
15	Back in 2004, before you had	
16	supervisory responsibilities over Mr. Holder	
17	and Mr. Millan, I assume you would not have	
18	any input into the performance evaluations	
. 19	that Mr. Holder was doing at the time?	
20	A. Correct.	
21	Q. But by year end, 2005, by then,	
22	you would have had input into it?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	~ ~ -	

		Page 176
	please.	
2		(Reviewing.)
3	7	Yes, it does (sic). Um-hum.
4	Q. <i>I</i>	And on the third page of the
5	document under '	"Description," was this a
6	description that	t you created that described
7	the position tha	at was being advertised to
8	replace Mr. Millan?	
9	Α. Σ	Yes.
10	Q. V	What was Mr. Millan's salary
11	prior to him resigning?	
12	Α.	I believe it was 61, 62,000
13	base salary.	
14	Q. #	And was there a bonus that was
15	allocated to his	s position?
16	Α. Ε	Bonuses are never guaranteed.
17	It's based on pe	erformance throughout the year.
18	Q. I	Oid he get a bonus at the end
19	of 2006?	
20	Α. 1	No.
21	Q. I	Oid he get a bonus at the end
22	of 2005?	
23	А. У	Yes, he did.
24	Q. W	What was his bonus at the end

		Page 177
1	of 2005?	
2	Α.	Tough question. I think it was
3	10 or 12,000.	I don't recall exactly.
4	Q.	Who determined the bonus at the
5	end of 2005?	
6	Α.	That was a combination of
7	myself and Yes	im.
8	Q.	What bonus did Mr. Ibrahim get
9	at the end of 2	2005?
10	Α.	Zero.
11	Q.	Were there any other bonuses
12	given out duri	ng the course of the year?
13	Α.	No.
14	Q.	When was the 2005 bonus
15	determined? W	hen were the numbers determined?
16	Α.	The annual comp cycle starts in
17	October, and it	t really can run right up until
18	the week before	e the bonuses are given out. So
19	changes can har	ppen. So that's, you know,
20	January of the	following year.
21	Q.	Do you know at what point in
22	time Mr. Millar	n's bonus was determined in that
23	cycle?	
24	Α.	Again, it would have started

	Page 180
1	Q. A programmer?
2	A. That's how I would equate it.
3	Q. Did Mr. Millan ever work as a
4	computer systems analyst?
5	A. I have no idea.
6	Q. Under you, did he ever work in
7	the lab as a computer systems analyst?
8	A. Not in that term I wouldn't use
9	it, no.
10	Q. Did he ever do any computer
11	programming when he reported to you?
12	A. If we considered creating
13	databases and spreadsheet files to keep track
14	of the inventory and the elevation drawings
15	and the connectivity database, yes, I would
16	consider that.
17	Q. Did he actually create the
18	database, or did he enter information into an
19	already existing database?
20	A. As far as I know, he built the
21	database.
22	Q. What kind of a database was it?
23	A. Just an Excel database. He
24	laid out the field structure, he figured

	Page 181
1	out he put in the fields that were
2	required, and then he put in all the data
3	related to all the equipment.
4	Q. So was it an Excel document or
5	something more complicated than that?
6	A. For the most part, it's an
7	Excel document with some links.
8	Q. So it's not like an Access
9	database?
10	A. It's not Access, it's Excel.
11	Q. But it's a document as opposed
12	to a database?
13	A. We use the terms
14	interchangeably with Excel and Access. You
15	can do some key stuff with Excel now with all
16	the tables and the pivots and the feature
17	functionality that they've built into it.
18	Q. You said there were some links?
.1.9	A. Yeah. They you know,
20	Carmelo and Naseer were able to figure out
21	ways to embed text into the Word doc where you
22	click on a Word doc or click on the Excel file
23	or click on the elevation diagram, and it
24	would show you the pertinent information

		Page 182	
***	related to that	_	
~		So, like, a link to another	
2	~	DO, TIRE, a TIME OF WILLIAM	
3	document?	\$Z .	
4		Yup.	
5	~	Do you consider that to be	
6	computer progra	•	
7		It is if you don't know how to	
8		Not being coy. Yes, I would	
9	consider it	I mean, some of it is you	
10	know, I can't o	lo it.	
11	Q.	Did he work for you as a	
12	software engineer?		
13	Α.	No.	
14	Q.	When he worked for you, did his	
15	job involve the	e application of systems analyst	
16	techniques, and	alysis techniques?	
17	Α.	Yeah.	
18	Q.	What specifically?	
19	A.	Analyzing a request that came	
20	in, making sure the capacity in the		
21	environment wit	thin the lab could support it,	
22	making sure tha	at what the engineers think they	
23	want done is re	eally going to work from a	
24	network infras	tructure and connectivity point	

1 of view. 2 Q. Nothing beyond what you already 3 testified to earlier. Correct? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. And was he involved in 6 designing computer systems? 7 A. I would consider the whole 8 build-out or design of the lab and all its	
3 testified to earlier. Correct? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. And was he involved in 6 designing computer systems? 7 A. I would consider the whole	
A. Correct. Q. And was he involved in designing computer systems? A. I would consider the whole	
Q. And was he involved in designing computer systems? A. I would consider the whole	
6 designing computer systems? 7 A. I would consider the whole	
7 A. I would consider the whole	
o build out or degion of the lab and all its	
8 build-out or design of the lab and all its	
9 interconnectivity a system, its topology and	
10 assembly. You've got to make sure all the	
11 piece parts work together seemlessly in order	
12 for it to work.	
13 Q. So the build-out of the Warren	
14 facility you would consider falling into the	
15 category of design of computer systems?	
16 A. I would.	
17 MS. WALSH: I don't have any	
18 other questions.	
19 MS. BOUCHARD: I don't have any	
20 questions.	
21	
22 (Witness excused.)	
23	
24 (Deposition concluded at 3:52	